Introduction

Intermetallic compounds (IMCs) are generally considered a bad thing in solder joints, though this is not always the case. Excessive IMCs in solder joints are a bad thing since they significantly alter both the composition and the mechanical performance of the solder joint. Interfacial IMC layers can be an indication of the quality of the solder joint and the lack of them would indicate a solder process or possibly a material problem.

What are intermetallic compounds? They are stoichiometric combinations of two or more metal atoms where the atomic fractions of the metals are generally fixed (for example Cu3Sn). This can be contrasted with solid solutions where the atomic fractions can sometimes vary as widely as 0 - 100%. Metals and alloys exhibit metallic bonding between the atoms, whereas IMCs exhibit a more covalent character. This is why IMCs tend to be much harder and have much higher elastic moduli than either of their respective metallic elements (from the previous example Cu or Sn).

The intermetallic compounds that have been most important in our work performing microstructural evaluations on solder joints are described briefly in the sections below.

Cu₆Sn₅

The intermetallic Cu₆Sn₅ is important due to the large number of tin-lead and lead-free solder joints formed directly to copper. This IMC forms an interfacial layer and can be found in the bulk microstructure of tin-lead solder joints where excessive time and temperature are involved during the soldering process. In addition, the Cu₆Sn₅ intermetallic is a primary feature in the microstructure of lead-free solder joints such as SAC 305 alloy (96.5Sn-3Ag-0.5Cu). Fig. A is an example of a Cu₆Sn₅ IMC needle in the bulk microstructure of a SAC alloy BGA solder joint.
Figure A: Example of a Cu$_6$Sn$_5$ IMC needle in the bulk microstructure of a SAC alloy BGA solder joint.

Cu$_3$Sn

The intermetallic Cu$_3$Sn is important as it forms an interfacial layer between the copper and Cu$_6$Sn$_5$ IMC layer in tin-lead and lead-free solder joints formed directly to copper. The combination of the Cu$_3$Sn & Cu$_6$Sn$_5$ layers seems to result in a very strong bond between the solder and the copper.

Figure B: Example of a Cu$_3$Sn interfacial IMC of a SAC alloy BGA solder joint on OSP board.
Ni$_3$Sn$_4$

The intermetallic Ni$_3$Sn$_4$ forms between tin and electroplated nickel and electroless nickel (e.g. Ni-P) plating layers. Electroless-nickel immersion-gold (ENIG) PWB finishes have become increasingly common and nickel barrier plating is also quite common making the Ni$_3$Sn$_4$ an important consideration.

AuSn$_4$

The intermetallic AuSn$_4$ is generally a concern when it forms at too high a volume fraction in bulk Sn-based solder joints [1], which is referred to as gold embrittlement. Since four tin atoms are consumed for each gold atom, the volume fraction can increase rapidly with increasing gold concentration.

Figure C: Example of black pad syndrome, interfacial failure between Ni$_3$Sn$_4$ and Ni-P.
Figure D: Eutectic tin-lead microstructure with gold embrittlement. Bright areas are Pb-phase, darker areas are Sn-phase, and intermediate contrast areas are Au-Sn IMC (AuSn$_4$ - red arrows). Image processing was used to estimate the area fraction of IMC in this image, which was calculated at 20.5% corresponding to a severely embrittled solder joint.

$\textbf{Ag}_3\textbf{Sn}$

The intermetallic $\text{Ag}_3\text{Sn}$ is a constituent phase in SN62 solder (62Sn-36Pb-2Ag) and in SAC alloys. It is also important when tin-based solder is used on immersion silver (IAg) finished printed wiring boards and silver terminated chip components.

Figure E(a): X-ray dot map of $\text{Ag}_3\text{Sn}$ that settled onto the Ni$_3$Sn$_4$/solder interface. (b) This is a $\text{Ag}_3\text{Sn}$ IMC needles growing into the bulk solder from the PWB mounting pad on an IAg
Figure E(b): This is a Ag$_3$Sn IMC needle growing into the bulk solder from the PWB mounting pad on an IAg finished PWB.

**Volume Fractions**

The gold-tin system will be used in this section to illustrate the volume fraction of IMC that results when tin reacts with gold in bulk solder. Using eutectic tin-lead solder in the example we can write this reaction as...

\[ \text{Sn} + \text{Pb} + \text{Au} \rightarrow \text{Sn} + \text{Pb} + \text{AuSn}_{4} \]

The density of these constituent phases can be used to calculate the volume fraction. Tin has a small amount of Pb in solid solution (and vice versa), but for our purposes we can use the density of pure tin and pure lead. The density of the constituent phases and atomic or formula weights of the elements are listed below.

- \( p_{\text{Au}} = 19.3 \text{ g/cm}^3 \)
- \( p_{\text{Sn}} = 7.3 \text{ g/cm}^3 \)
- \( p_{\text{Pb}} = 11.34 \text{ g/cm}^3 \)
- \( p_{\text{AuSn}_4} = 8.985 \text{ g/cm}^3 \) [1]
- \( A\text{W}_{\text{Au}} = 196.97 \text{ g/mole} \)
- \( A\text{W}_{\text{Sn}} = 118.71 \text{ g/mole} \)
- \( A\text{W}_{\text{Pb}} = 207.2 \text{ g/mole} \)
- \( F\text{W}_{\text{AuSn}_4} = 671.81 \text{ g/mole} \)
We can set up a spreadsheet for calculating the volume fraction of IMC versus the weight percentage of gold using a mass balance approach and generate Fig. F below. Fig. F shows that the volume fraction of AuSn4 increases rapidly with weight percent gold and the primary tin phase is consumed.

![Graph showing volume percentage of AuSn4 in bulk eutectic tin-lead versus weight percent gold.](image)

Figure F: Volume percentage of AuSn4 in bulk eutectic tin-lead versus weight percent gold.

The same approach was used for Ag3Sn and Cu6Sn5 IMCs in bulk eutectic tin-lead solder as shown in Fig. G. The AuSn4 is worst case for generating volume of IMC since the Au:Sn ratio is 1:4. The Cu6Sn5 is also a concern since the atomic weight of copper is ~1/3 that of gold so it takes less copper weight to generate more IMCS. Solder joints are referred to as "overheated" when too much copper is dissolved into the solder making it "gritty".
Figure G: Volume percentage of X-Sn IMC in bulk eutectic tin-lead versus weight percent “X”.

**Ternary IMCs**

Zribi et al. [3] found that a ternary intermetallic phase, Au0.5Ni0.5Sn$_4$, grows at the Ni$_3$Sn$_4$/solder interface during annealing. The presence of this ternary phase was shown to decrease the toughness of the solder joints. Marks [9] demonstrated failures at the Ni$_3$Sn$_4$/solder interface after aging.
Figure H: Apparent \( \text{Au}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Sn}_4 \) phase in bulk SAC solder joint.

Yoon-Chul Sohn et al [10] identified ternary phases including \((\text{Ni,Cu})_3\text{Sn}_4\), \((\text{Cu,Ni})_6\text{Sn}_5\), and \(\text{Ni}_3\text{SnP}\) in solder joints utilizing SAC alloy. The \(\text{Ni}_3\text{SnP}\) phase was identified as the phase likely responsible for brittle interfacial fracture at Ni-P/solder interfaces.

**Conclusions**

This paper highlighted some of the conditions, microstructures, and metallurgical considerations involving intermetallic compounds in solder joints.
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